HYPERSURFACES OF ODD-DIMENSIONAL SPHERES

DAVID E. BLAIR, GERALD D. LUDDEN & KENTARO YANO

A structure similar to an almost complex structure was shown in [2] to exist on a hypersurface of an almost contact manifold or a submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost complex space. This structure on a manifold M has been studied in [1], [5], [6] from two points of view, namely, that the structure exists on M because M is a submanifold of some ambient space, and also that the structure exists intrinsically on M.

The odd-dimensional sphere S^{2n+1} has an almost contact structure which is naturally induced from the Kaehler structure of Euclidean space E^{2n+2} . The purpose of this paper is to study complete hypersurfaces immersed in S^{2n+1} . In § 3 it is shown that if the Weingarten map of the immersion and f commute then the hypersurface is a sphere whose radius is determined. Here, f is a tensor field of type (1,1) on the hypersurface, which is part of the induced structure. That the hypersurface satisfying this condition is a sphere follows from the results in [6], however a new proof is given here for completeness. In § 4 it is shown that if the Weingarten map K of the immersion and f satisfy fK + Kf = 0, and the hypersurface is of constant scalar curvature, then it is a great sphere or $S^n \times S^n$.

1. Hypersurfaces of a sphere

Let S^{2n+1} be the natural sphere of dimension 2n+1 in Euclidean (2n+2)-space E^{2n+2} . Let (ϕ, ξ, η, g) be the normal, almost contact metric structure (see [4]) induced on S^{2n+1} by the Kaehler structure on E^{2n+2} . That is to say, ϕ is a tensor field of type (1,1), ξ is a vector field, η is a 1-form and g is a Riemannian metric on S^{2n+1} satisfying

$$egin{align} \phi^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi \;, \ \phi \xi &= 0 \;, \quad \eta \circ \phi &= 0 \;, \ \eta(\hat{\xi}) &= 1 \;, \ g(\phi ar{X}, \phi ar{Y}) + \eta(ar{X}) \eta(ar{Y}) &= g(ar{X}, ar{Y}) \;, \ [\phi, \phi] + d\eta \otimes \xi &= 0 \;, \ \end{gathered}$$

where $[\phi, \phi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion tensor of ϕ , and \vec{X} and \vec{Y} are arbitrary vector fields on S^{2n+1} .

Communicated December 17, 1970.

Suppose $\pi: M^{2n} \to S^{2n+1}$ is an embedding of the orientable manifold M^{2n} in S^{2n+1} . The tensor G defined on M^{2n} by

$$G(X,Y) = g(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)$$

is a Riemannian metric on M^{2n} , where π_* denotes the differential of the embedding π . If C is a field of unit normals defined on M^{2n} , and \tilde{V} is the Riemannian connection of g, then the Gauss and Weingarten equations can be written as

(3)
$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X}\pi_*Y = \pi_*(\mathcal{V}_XY) + k(X,Y)C,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pi_*X}C = \pi_*(KX).$$

Then V is the Riemannian connection of G, k is a symmetric tensor of type (0,2) on M^{2n} , and G(KX,Y) = k(X,Y). Furthermore, if we set

(4)
$$\phi \pi_* X = \pi_* f X + v(X) C , \qquad \xi = \pi_* U + \lambda C ,$$

$$\phi C = -BV , \qquad u(X) = \eta(\pi_* X) ,$$

then f is a tensor field of type (1,1), U and V are vector fields, u and v are 1-forms, and λ is a function satisfying

$$f^{2} = -I + u \otimes U + v \otimes V,$$

$$u \circ f = \lambda v, \quad v \circ f = -\lambda u,$$

$$fU = -\lambda V, \quad fV = \lambda U,$$

$$u(U) = v(V) = 1 - \lambda^{2}, \quad u(V) = v(U) = 0,$$

$$G(fX, fY) = G(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y).$$

It was shown in [2] that the following relations hold

(6)
$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X f)Y &= G(X,Y)U - u(Y)X - k(X,Y)V + v(Y)KX, \\ \nabla_X U &= -fX - \lambda KX, \\ \nabla_X V &= -\lambda X + fKX, \\ \nabla_X \lambda &= v(X) + k(U,X). \end{aligned}$$

2. Case I:
$$Kt - tK = 0$$

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If M^{2n} is an orientable submanifold of S^{2n+1} satisfying Kf = fK, and $\lambda \neq$ constant, K being the Weingarten map of the embedding, and f and λ being defined in (4), then M^{2n} is a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}$, where α is some constant determined by the embedding.

Proof. We have that 0 = G((Kf - fK)U, U), so that

$$0 = G(KfU, U) - G(fKU, U)$$

= $-\lambda G(V, KU) + G(KU, fU)$
= $-\lambda G(V, KU) - \lambda G(KU, V)$.

Therefore we see $\lambda = 0$ or k(U, V) = 0. By continuity, since λ is non-constant,

$$k(U,V)=0.$$

In a similar fashion we obtain

$$(8) k(U, U) = k(V, V).$$

Now $fKU + \lambda KV = 0$, so that

$$0 = -KU + u(KU)U + v(KU)V + \lambda KfV$$

= $-KU + u(KU)U + \lambda^2 KU$,

and hence

$$(1 - \lambda^2)KU = k(U, U)U.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(1-\lambda^2)KV=k(U,U)V.$$

At points where $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, we have $KU = \alpha U$ for $\alpha = k(U, U)/(1 - \lambda^2)$, which implies

$$(\nabla_X K)U + K(-fX - \lambda KX) = \nabla_X \alpha \cdot U + \alpha(-fX - \lambda KX).$$

The Codazzi equation for an embedding gives that $(\nabla_X K)(Y) = (\nabla_Y K)(X)$ so that we have

$$2G(KfZ,X) = (\nabla_X \alpha)u(Z) - (\nabla_Z \alpha)u(X) + 2\alpha G(fZ,X) .$$

If we set Z equal to U, then

$$-2\alpha\lambda u(X) = (\nabla_X\alpha)(1-\lambda^2) - (\nabla_U\alpha)u(X) - 2\lambda u(X),$$

so that $\nabla_{X}\alpha$ and u(X) are proportional. Therefore $Kf = \alpha f$, and hence

$$-KX + u(X)KU + v(X)KV = \alpha(-X + u(X)U + v(X)V).$$

Thus $KX = \alpha X$ for all X, and by the Codazzi equation α is constant. From (6) we have that $\nabla_X \lambda = v(X) + \alpha u(X)$, and therefore that

$$\nabla_{Y}\nabla_{X}\lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_{Y}X) = \nabla_{Y}(v(X) + \alpha u(X)) - (v(\nabla_{Y}X) + \alpha u(\nabla_{Y}X))
= -\lambda G(X, Y) + \alpha G(X, fY) - \alpha G(X, fY) - \alpha \lambda G(KY, X)
= -\lambda (1 + \alpha^{2})G(X, Y) .$$

By the following lemma of Obata [3], M^{2n} is sphere of radius $(1 + \alpha^2)^{-1/2}$.

Lemma. A complete connected Riemannian manifold M admits a nontrivial solution λ of $\nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_Y X) = -k\lambda G(X, Y)$ for some real number k > 0 if and only if M is globally isometric to a Euclidean sphere of radius $k^{-1/2}$.

Corollary. Let M^{2n} be an orientable submanifold of S^{2n+1} with $\lambda \neq constant$. Then Kf - fK = 0 if and only if M^{2n} is a totally umbillical submanifold of S^{2n+1} .

Remark. In [5], there was introduced the idea of *normality* of an (f, G, u, v, λ) -structure, which is of a manifold M^{2n} with tensors satisfying (5). This condition is

$$[f, f] + du \otimes U + dv \otimes V = 0.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition. Let M^{2n} be a hypersurface of S^{2n+1} with $\lambda \neq constant$. The (f, G, u, v, λ) -structure on M^{2n} is normal if and only if fK - Kf = 0. Proof. Let

$$S(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + du(X, Y)U + dv(X, Y)V.$$

Using (5) it can be shown that

$$S(X,Y) = v(Y)(Kf - fK)X - v(X)(Kf - fK)Y,$$

and hence the "if" part of the proposition is proved. On the other hand, assume S(X, Y) = 0 for all X and Y and let PX = (Kf - fK)X. Then

$$v(V)PX = v(X)PV$$
.

Also, it can be shown that

$$G(PX, Y) = G(X, PY)$$

so that

$$v(X)G(PV, Y) = v(Y)G(PV, X),$$

that is to say,

$$G(PV, Y) = \alpha v(Y)$$

for some α . Thus we have that

$$v(V)G(PX, Y) = v(X)G(PV, Y) = \alpha v(X)v(Y)$$
,

but since the trace of P is 0, we have $\alpha = 0$ and thus P = 0.

3. Case II:
$$Kt + tK = 0$$

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. If M^{2n} is a complete orientable submanifold of S^{2n+1} with constant scalar curvature satisfying Kf + fK = 0 and $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$, where K is the Weingarten map of the embedding, and f and λ are defined in (4), then M^{2n} is a natural sphere S^{2n} or $M^{2n} = S^n \times S^n$.

Proof. We have that

$$0 = (Kf + fK)U = -\lambda KV + fKU,$$

$$0 = (Kf + fK)V = \lambda KU + fKV,$$

so that

$$0 = -\lambda k(V, V) + G(fKU, V)$$

= $-\lambda k(V, V) - G(KU, fV)$
= $-\lambda k(V, V) - \lambda k(U, U)$,

and hence

(9)
$$k(U, U) + k(V, V) = 0$$

by continuity. Also

$$0 = -\lambda fKV + f^{2}KU$$

= $\lambda^{2}KU + (-KU + u(KU)U + v(KU)V)$,

that is,

(10)
$$(1 - \lambda^2)KU = k(U, U)U + k(U, V)V ,$$

and similarly

(11)
$$(1 - \lambda^2)KV = k(U, V)U + k(V, V)V.$$

At points where $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, write equations (10) and (11) as

$$(10') KU = \alpha U + \beta V ,$$

$$(11') KV = \beta U - \alpha V.$$

If we apply ∇_X to equation (10'), use equation (6) for $\nabla_X U$ and $\nabla_X V$, and use

the fact that $(\nabla_X K)Y = (\nabla_Y K)X$ because of the Codazzi equation, then we find that

(12)
$$(\nabla_X \alpha) u(Y) - (\nabla_Y \alpha) u(X) + (\nabla_X \beta) v(Y)$$
$$- (\nabla_Y \beta) v(X) - 2\alpha F(X, Y) = 0 ,$$

where F(X, Y) = G(fX, Y). Setting X = U and Y = V and using the fact that $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$ we see that

$$-\nabla_{\nu}\alpha + \nabla_{\nu}\beta + 2\alpha\lambda = 0.$$

From equations (12) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) (1 - \lambda^2) \nabla_{\nu} \alpha = (\nabla_{\nu} \alpha) u(Y) + (\nabla_{\nu} \alpha) v(Y) ,$$

$$(15) \qquad (1 - \lambda^2) \nabla_{\mathcal{V}} \beta = (\nabla_{\mathcal{V}} \beta) u(Y) + (\nabla_{\mathcal{V}} \beta) v(Y) ,$$

(16)
$$2\alpha(1-\lambda^2)F(X,Y)=(u(Y)v(X)-v(Y)u(X))(\nabla_V\alpha-\nabla_U\beta).$$

However, since the rank of f is $\geq 2n-2$, equation (16) implies that $\alpha=0$ and $\nabla_U \beta=0$ if $n \neq 1$. Thus equation (12) becomes

$$(12') (\nabla_X \beta) v(Y) = (\nabla_Y \beta) v(X) ,$$

or

$$(12'') \qquad (1-\lambda^2) \nabla_X \beta = (\nabla_V \beta) v(X) .$$

Applying V_x to equation (11'), and using the fact that $\alpha = 0$ and the Codazzi equation, we find that

(17)
$$(\nabla_X \beta) u(X) - (\nabla_Y \beta) u(X) - 2\beta F(X, Y) - 2F(KX, KY) = 0.$$

Setting Y = U and using (12") we have that $2\beta^2\lambda = 2\beta\lambda - \nabla_\nu\beta$ so that $\beta =$ constant implies that $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$.

Replace Y by fY in equation (17) and use equation (12") to obtain

$$2(1 - \lambda^2)F(KX, KfY)$$

$$= (\nabla_V \beta)(v(X)u(fY) - v(fY)u(X)) - 2\beta(1 - \lambda^2)F(X, fY),$$

that is,

$$-2(1-\lambda^2)[G(KX,KY)-u(KX)u(KY)-v(KX)v(KY)]$$

$$= \nabla_V \beta[\lambda v(X)v(Y)+\lambda u(X)u(Y)]$$

$$-2\beta(1-\lambda^2)[G(X,Y)-u(X)u(Y)-v(X)v(Y)],$$

from which follows

$$(18) \qquad (1-\lambda^2)K^2 = (\beta^2 - \beta)(u \otimes U + v \otimes V) + \beta(1-\lambda^2)I.$$

From (18) and a previous remark we see that if $\beta = \text{constant then } K^2 = 0$ or $K^2 = I$. If $K^2 = 0$, then K = 0 since K is symmetric. In this case, M^{2n} is a totally geodisic submanifold of S^{2n+1} and hence $M^{2n} = S^{2n}$. In the case where $K^2 = I$, K gives an almost product structure on M^{2n} .

We have

$$k(fX, fY) = G(KfX, fY) = G(Kf^{2}X, Y)$$

$$= -G(KX, Y) + u(X)G(KU, Y) + v(X)G(KV, Y)$$

$$= -k(X, Y) + \beta(u(X)v(Y) + v(X)u(Y)).$$

Now since k(U, U) + k(V, V) = 0 and G(U, V) = 0, the last equation can be used to show that the trace of K is 0, that is, M^{2n} is a minimal hypersurface (note that this last conclusion holds whether or not $\beta = \text{constant}$). In the case where $K^2 = I$, tr K = 0 implies that the global distributions on M^{2n} given by $\frac{1}{2}(K + I)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(I - K)$ are both of dimension n.

Now to find the scalar curvature of M^{2n} by the Gauss equation, let \tilde{R} and R denote the curvature tensors of g and G respectively. Then the Gauss equation is

(19)
$$\tilde{R}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y, \pi_* Z, \pi_* W) = R(X, Y, Z, W) - (k(Y, Z)k(X, W) - k(Y, W)k(X, Z)) .$$

Using (18) and the fact that S^{2n+1} is of constant curvature equal to 1, for $1 - \lambda^2 \neq 0$ we have

$$\bar{R}(X,Y) = (2n-1)g(X,Y) - \left[\beta g(X,Y) + \frac{\beta^2 - \beta}{1 - \lambda^2} (u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))\right],$$

where \bar{R} is the Ricci tensor of G. From this it follows that the scalar curvature of M^{2n} is equal to $2n(2n-1) - \beta(2n-2) - 2\beta^2$, and therefore that $\beta =$ constant.

If we apply \mathcal{V}_X to equation (19) and use the second Bianchi identity and tr K = 0, then we obtain that

$$(\nabla_X K)Y + (\nabla_Y K)X = 0,$$

and thus $\nabla_x K = 0$ by the Codazzi equation.

Therefore, if $\beta = 1$, the almost product structure K is decomposable. Hence by completeness, M^{2n} is a product $M^n \times \overline{M}^n$. Now we have, by equation (17),

(20)
$$G(f(K \pm I)X, (K \pm I)Y) = F(KX, KY) + F(X, Y) \pm (F(KX, Y) + F(X, KY)) = 0,$$

and, by equation (6),

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda (\nabla_Y (X)) = \nabla_Y (v(X) + k(U, X)) - (v(\nabla_Y X) + k(U, \nabla_Y X))
= (\nabla_Y v)X + k(\nabla_Y U, X) = -2\lambda G(X, Y) - 2G(fY, KX).$$

From equation (20) we see that if X and Y are both in the distribution I + K or I - K, then g(fY, KX) = 0 so that

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda (\nabla_Y X) = -2\lambda G(X, Y)$$
.

Thus, M^n and \overline{M}^n are both spheres of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$ by the lemma of Obata.

References

- D. E. Blair & G. D. Ludden, On Intrinsic structures similar to those on S²ⁿ, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [2] D. E. Blair, G. D. Ludden & K. Yano, Induced structures on submanifolds, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970) 188-198.
- [3] M. Obata, Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere, J. Math. Soc. Japan 14 (1962) 333-340.
- [4] Y. Tashiro, On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. I, Tôhoku Math. J. 2 (1963) 62-78.
- [5] K. Yano & M. Okumura, On (f, g, u, ν, λ)-structures, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970) 401-423.
- [6] —, On normal (f, g, u, v, λ)-structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in a Euclidean space, to appear.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY